	1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
	2	FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAT O'Clock M.
•	3	NOV 1 6 2011
	4	STATE OF ARIZONA,) SANDRA K MARKHAM, Clerk By: Jacqueline Harchman
	5	Plaintiff,
08 07·13AM	6	vs.) Case No. P1300CR20100 Ø 1325
	7	STEVEN CARROLL) DeMOCKER,)
	8	Defendant.
	9)
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
	15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WARREN R. DARROW
	16	CASE STATUS CONFERENCE
	17	JULY 21, 2011
	18	Camp Verde, Arizona
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	ORIGINAL
	23	REPORTED BY
	24	MINA G. HUNT AZ CR NO. 50619
	25	CA CSR NO. 8335

7			1	1	3 Proceedings had before the Honorable
	1		IRT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	2	WARREN R DARROW, Judge, taken on Thursday,
	2				July 21, 2011, at Yavapai County Superior Court,
_	3			3 4	Division Pro Tem B, 2840 North Commonwealth Drive,
	4	STATE OF ARIZONA,)		
	5	Plaintiff,)	5	Camp Verde, Arizona, before Mina G. Hunt, Certified
08:07 13AM	6	vs) Case No P1300CR2010001325	6	Reporter within and for the State of Arizona.
	7	STEVEN CARROLL DeMOCKER,))	7	
	8	Defendant)	8	
	9		_)	9	
	10			10	
	11			11	
	12			12	
	13			13	
	14		NSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	14	
	15		RABLE WARREN R DARROW	15	
	16		ATUS CONFERENCE	16	
	17		y 21, 2011		
	18	Camp ve	erde, Arızona	17	
	19 20			18	
	21			19	
	22			20	
	23			21	
	24		REPORTED BY MINA G HUNT	22	
	25		AZ CR NO 50619 CA CSR NO 8335	23	
	2.3			24	
		Mına G Hunt	(928) 554-8522	25	
_]	Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522
			2	 	
2 1	ADD	EADANCES OF COUNS			4

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522			
	(510) (51)	25	
			Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	2	4
'		1	PROCEEDINGS
2	For the Plaintiff:	03 04 10PM 2	THE COURT: P1300CR201001325, State versus
3	YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	03 04 17PM 3	Steven Carol DeMocker, Mr. DeMocker is present
4	BY: STEVEN A. YOUNG, ATTORNEY 255 East Gurley Street	03-04 20PM 4	seated at counsel table. His attorneys,
•	Prescott, Arizona 86301	03 04 23PM 5	Mr Parzych and Mr. Williams, are both on the
5	(Appearing by telephone)	03-04 25PM 6	phone.
6	YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	03.04.26PM 7	Correct?
7	BY JEFFREY PAUPORE, ATTORNEY 255 East Gurley Street	03 04 27PM 8	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Judge
8	Prescott, Arizona 86301	03 D4 28PM 9	MR. PAUPORE: Yes, Judge.
-	(Appearing by telephone)	03 04 29FM 10	THE COURT: The state's represented by
9	For the Defendant.	03 04 33PM 1 1	Mr Paupore and Mr. Young.
10	rol the Delendant.		•
11	DERIENZO & WILLIAMS BY: CRAIG WILLIAMS, ATTORNEY	03-04-35PM 12	And you are both on the phone?
-	3681 North Robert Road	03 04 37РМ 13	MR. YOUNG: Yes, sır.
12	Prescott Valley, Arizona 86314 (Appearing by telephone)	03 04 38PM 14	MR. PAUPORE Yes, sır.
13	(Appearing by telephone)	03-04 ЗВРМ 15	THE COURT: Ms. Bachman is here in person.
14	MARICOPA LEGAL DEFENDER'S OFFICE BY: GREGORY T. PARZYCH, ATTORNEY	03 04 42PM 16	Normally I don't like to have a client, a
-	222 North Central Avenue	03 04 46PM 17	defendant, in court without attorneys present. But
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2203 (Appearing by telephone)	03 04 51PM 18	the request this is a hearing that was set up on
16	(Appearing by telephone)	03.04.57PM 19	very short notice. Mr. DeMocker is present and
17		03 05 01PM 20	won't be saying anything. He's here. That's his
18		03 05 05PM 21	right. He is seated at counsel table.
19 20		03 05 08Рм 22	I didn't know about this hearing myself
21		03.05.11PM 23	until I came in late this morning. I had an
21 22 23 24 25		03 05 14PM 24	appointment in Prescott this morning What I
24		03 05 18PM 25	understand is this: The state, of course, made a
20	Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522	l	Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

Mr. Williams suggested there be this hearing or argument, I guess, to determine the scope of the hearing, the length of the hearing, those things.

Mr. Williams.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

na 05 27PM

03 05 32PM

n3 05 40PM

03 05 43PM

02 05 44PM

03 05 59PM 10

03 06 04PM 11

03 D6 D8PM 12

03 06 09РМ 13

03 06 12PM 14

03 D6 16PM 15

03 06 19PM 16

03 06 21PM 17

03 05 25PM 18

03 (16 25PM 19

03 06 29PM 20

03 06 32PM **21**

03 06 35PM 22

03 06 36PM **23**

03 06 41PM 24

03 06 44PM 25

03 06 53PM

03 Op 57PM

03 07 00PM

03 07 08PM

03 07 14PM

03 07 21PM

03.07.24PM

03 07 26PM 03 07 28PM 10

03 07 31PM 11

03 07 36PM 13

03 07 39PM 14

03 07 43PM 15

03 07 46PM 16

03 07 50PM 17

03 07 56PM 18

03 07 58PM 19

03 08 01PM 20

03 08 03PM 21

6

7

8

9

MR. WILLIAMS: I'll make a record as far as Mr. DeMocker being in the courtroom. After I got the emails from your judicial assistant, I had asked her for a little time so I could get in touch with Mr. DeMocker.

I did talk to him and told him that I was going to be telephonic, as was Mr. Parzych. He didn't have any objection to that. And he knew he would be in the courtroom alone. That was discussed before he got there. I need to put that on the record.

THE COURT: Okav.

MR. WILLIAMS: As far as the hearing goes, this is my opinion on this: We asked for a three-day evidentiary hearing. And I would like the Court to officially put on the record that you're denying that three-day evidentiary hearing.

If the Court does that, I have talked to

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

1

03 09 3694

03 09 57PM 10

03 10 00PM 11

03 10 02PM 12

03 10 05PM 13

03 10 10PM 14

03 10 13PM 15

03 10 15PM 16

03 10 16PM 17

Mr. Paupore, and we agree that we don't need oral 1 2 argument, that we'll submit it on the pleadings. But I do need the request for the three-day evidentiary hearing to be denied. Oh. Granted. 5 Granted.

THE COURT: You -- the condition for not proceeding with oral argument, again, Mr. Williams is what?

MR. WILLIAMS: I don't want it to be a condition. I want to clear up that issue. I'm sorry if I presented it like it was a condition.

03 07 34PM 12 We had asked for a three-day evidentiary hearing.

THE COURT: That was -- I'm sorry. I don't want to interrupt, but to keep the thought process in some logical order here. I think it was -- you felt it was brief and the grounds were there that if the motion were going to be denied -- and, of course, it's a motion in the alternative. But if the motion was going to be denied, you thought that you wanted a chance to present evidence, and you requested three days. That's how I thought that came up.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

THE COURT: Is that true, if am I accurate on

03 08 11PM 25 that?

22

07PM 23

03 08 08PM **24**

(928) 554-8522 Mina G Hunt

MR. WILLIAMS: My opinion on that was if the 1 Court was going to require evidence or argument or 2 that kind of thing, I thought we should have an 03 08 23PM evidentiary hearing. If the Court says no, I'm not 03 08 26PM 5 going to give you a three-day evidentiary hearing to present these witnesses, then I think we submit 03 08 33PM 7 it on the pleadings.

8 I don't think there is anything that either our side or Mr. Young and Mr. Paupore are 03 08 42PM 10 going to add to what we've already said in our pleadings, that I think it's a pointless exercise 03 08 45PM 11 03-08 49PM 12 to enter into oral argument.

03 08 51PM 13 THE COURT: Okay. I understand. If there is 03 08 53PM 14 not requesting -- not going to be actual evidence 03 08 58PM 15 presented other than what is in some form attached to the pleadings, that type of thing. If it's 03 09 03PM 16 going to involve an actual hearing, there is no 03 09 06PM 17 need to have argument. I think that's what you're 03 09 12PM 19 saving.

03 09 12PM **20** MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. That's what I'm saying. 03 09 14PM 21 THE COURT: Mr. Paupore, you agree with that? 03-09 17PM 22 MR. PAUPORE: Well, Craig and I did talk about 03 09 21PM 23 this hearing. And after -- originally I told Craig I thought we could submit it on the pleadings. If 03 D9 28PM 24

03 09 32PM 25 we're going to have oral, I'd like to have maximum

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

2 THE COURT: And, Mr. Williams, you don't see any reason for that?

MR. WILLIAMS: I don't see any reason for oral argument. If we're going to enter into that, I'll want an evidentiary hearing. If we're going to submit on the pleadings, what has been said 03 09 47PM encompasses everything that could be -- I think. 03 09 50PM

9 That's my opinion on that.

time of an hour.

The state's entitled to ask for oral argument if they want. And I'm not saying that they don't. I was under the impression that we had agreed that submit it on the pleadings.

THE COURT: I haven't decided --

Go ahead, Mr. Paupore.

MR. PAUPORE: That wasn't me.

THE COURT: Whoever started, go ahead. Okav.

03 10 23PM 18 Then I'll proceed. I have not decided whether or

03 10 28PM 19 not to have a hearing, an evidentiary hearing. I

03 10 37PM 20 have some questions, though, at least one that I

03 10 39PM 21 want to present. And it really arose -- it's

03 10 44PM 22 something that I thought it was the case earlier

03 10 47PM 23 sometime ago when I was reviewing records for

03 10 50PM 24 unsealing. I did that yesterday.

03 10 54PM 25 I was with the clerk for some length of

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

8

12

03 13 40PM

03 13 48PM

03 13 51PM

03 13 58PM

03 14 02PM

03 14 42PM 17

03 14 46PM 18

03 14 48PM 19

03 14 55PM **20**

03 14 56PM 21

03 14 59PM 22

1

2

03 15 10PM

03 15 13PM

03 15 16PM

stime looking at redactions, discussing particular 2 records. And there are a number that are about to 3 be unsealed, should be very soon now. Fairly large 4 number. 5 I notice this, that the orders, the 15.9 6

03 11 07PM

03 11 16PM

03 11 19PM

01 11 22PM

03 11 25PM 03 11 25PM 10

03 11 28PM 11

03 11 32РМ 12

03 11 36PM 13

03 11 40PM 14

03 11 44PM 15

03 11 49PM 16

03 11 52PM 17 03 11 56PM 18

03 11 59PM 19

03 12 07PM **22**

03 12 08PM 23

03 12 11PM 24

03 12 14PM **25**

03 12 17PM

03 12 20PM

03 12 23PM

03 12 26PM

03 12 31*P*N

03 12 38PM

D3 12 41PM

03 12 45PM 10

03 12 48PM 11

03 12 49PM 12

03 12 53PM 13

03 12 54PM 14

03 12 59Рм 15

03 13 04PM 16

03 13 08PM 17

03 13 13PM 18

03 13 18PM 19

03 13 19Рм 20

03 13 22PM 21

. 22

27PM 23

03 13 31PM **24**

03 13 39РМ 25

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

7

8

9

orders -- and, Mr. Williams, in your pleading you attached front pages of a number of the applications or requests, motions, that kind of thing.

In looking at the actual orders, I noted -- and the clerk pointed this out to me. I noted that hard copies of orders actually naming the retained persons, whether they're experts or investigators or other types of assistants, the actual orders appointing those specific people and the hourly amounts that were to be paid -- those orders were given by hard copy to the county attorney, basically, throughout the proceeding.

Is everyone aware of that?

03 12 05PM **20** MR. PAUPORE: Your Honor, Jeff Paupore. I am 03 12 06PM 21 not aware of that.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams?

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm not aware of that, Judge.

THE COURT: I mean these ex parte orders

actually have a box checked that shows the hard

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

10

copy was distributed to the county attorney just in the normal course. And I'm assuming that actually happened. Mr. Paupore, you're saying right now you're not aware of that?

MR. PAUPORE: I'm saying I have not seen the orders. Did the clerk send them to our office? If it says it was coed to the county attorney's office, I have to assume it was sent to us. But I have not seen them.

THE COURT: That's my understanding from talking to the clerk's office is that box is checked. It would have gone to your office. It seems as though it would probably have ended up in a file you have. And you don't know?

MR. PAUPORE: No, Your Honor. I thought I saw every pleading I was supposed to see come across my desk. But I'm not going to swear that happened. Mr. Butner did talk about it. Neither us have any recollection of seeing the ex parte. There was one or two pleadings that we saw right in the very beginning. And then that was it.

And I don't have any recollection, nor in my conversations with Joe Butner, does he have any recollections of seeing signed orders of 15.9 proceedings.

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, Mr. Parzych, I don't 1 know if you have the file under the old case number 2 available. Have you been able to check that? Are you in the process of checking that? It seemed to 5 me potentially a significant fact.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm not prepared to discuss 7 that today. I guess we can look. I mean, if you saw the size of this file, Judge, you'd understand R why I'm hemming and hawing about it.

03 14 18PM 10 THE COURT: I have a good notion of the extensiveness of the file, Mr. Williams. And with 03 14 24PM 11 03 14 27PM 12 this motion having been brought -- and I saw from 03 14 30PM 13 your pleadings that you did attach the first page 03 14 32PM 14 of some applications. If you have the orders handy D3 14 36PM 15 or segregated into a work file for the motion or 03 14 40PM 16 something. I don't know. I'm just asking.

> MR. WILLIAMS: I do not know that. And my secretary who is on the case is on vacation. I can't turn to her and say I'll be happy to check on ıt

MR. PAUPORE: Judge Darrow, you wouldn't happen to have a copy of an example at your hand?

03 15 04PM 23 THE COURT: No. I don't. I went and actually viewed the actual records in Prescott. That's 03 15 06PM 24 03 15:09PM 25 where they are.

> Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

MR. PAUPORE: Did you notice who else was hard copied with the actual orders besides the county attorney's office?

THE COURT: Not specifically. I mean, I'm

5 pretty sure the defense. I noticed two checks in a row on some of them. In terms of numbers, I think 03 15 24PM

there were 16 of those orders all together, 16

total orders relating to various experts or

9 different people. And I think all but two. So 14

is my estimate. 14 were actually provided to the 03 15 40PM 10 03 15 44PM 11 county attorney, according to the state's records.

03 15 48PM 12 What it does, it indicates this isn't 03 15 51PM 13 just a matter. Factually it isn't a question of

03 15 54PM 14 viewing -- I don't want to have any kind of

D3 15 57PM 15 implication here is what I'm saying. It would not

03 16 02PM 16 just be viewing apparently hard copies.

03 16 D6PM 17 Mr. Paupore indicates he was never was aware of 03 16 09PM 18 that throughout the proceeding.

03 16 16PM 19 MR. PARZYCH: Judge, I'm going through my copies of those. Are you talking about the bottom 03 16 18PM 20 03 16 21PM 21 of that order where there is that stamp where they

03 16 25PM 22 write in the names and there is checks?

03 16 27PM 23 THE COURT: Yes.

03 16 28PM **24** MR. PARZYCH: The ones I'm looking at I see 03 16 32PM 25 the stamp, a check for Sears, a check for Osborn

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

3 of 7 sheets

Maledon, a check for PD. I haven't gone through all of them. Some of them I don't see that check for the county attorney. But, again, I'm just

starting to go through them again.

03 16 39PM 2

03 16 50PM

03 16 53PM

03 16 59PM

03 17 02PM 10

03 17 04PM 11

03 17 06PM 12

03 17 09PM 13

03 17 12PM 14

03 17 14PM 15

03 17 17PM 16

03 17 20PM 17

03 17 24PM 18

03 17 31PM 19

03 17 34PM 20

03 17 38PM 21

03 17 40PM 22

03 17 43PM **23**

03 17 46PM 24

03 17 48PM 25

03 17 57PM

03 18 05PM

03 18 12PM

03 18 16PM

03 18 18PM

03 18 22PM

03 18 29PM

03 18 32PM 10

03 18 33PM 11

03 18 35PM 12

03 18 37РМ 13

03 18 39РМ 14

03 18 44PM 15

03 18 49PM 16

03 18 53PM 17

03 18 56PM 18

03 19 00PM 19

03 19 05PM **20**

03 19 08PM 21

_м 22

2PM 23

2

3

5

8

9

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: The clerk informed me that she, one of the clerks I was reviewing the records with to look through redactions and the various things -- she indicated that 14 of 16 indicated county attorney distribution. That's interesting in itself.

MR. PARZYCH: Yeah. I'll go through that in more detail. The Court's aware we met with the clerk and went through that. The first one I came to does not have that check on the county attorney's office.

THE COURT: Okay. It comes down to this: I just haven't decided whether or not to have an evidentiary hearing. I wasn't really -- I didn't know we were going to be dealing with this case this afternoon until, as I indicated, late this morning.

So I've just got to look at this. I have attempted to look into additional authority. I'll tell you that. I've looked as best I can for specific authority that deals with a situation of Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

14

03 20 19PM **25**

03 20 21PM

03 20 33PM

03 20 37PM

03 20 47PM

03 21 13PM 17

03 21 15PM 18

03 21 16PM 19

93 21 20PM 20

03 21 24PM 21

03 21 29PM **22**

03 21 33PM 23

03 21 37PM 24

03 21 42PM 25

9

viewing this type of information, ex parte information, that is viewed outside the persons it was restricted to.

But I understand the positions of the parties. You told me what you think about it, what type of hearings should be conducted and whether or not there needs to be oral argument, there is not a hearing. I'll take all that into consideration.

Is there anything else you would like to tell me?

MR. PAUPORE: Yes, Your Honor. Can you tell us what case authority you're looking at?

THE COURT: I certainly would if I'm going to use it in any fashion. It's a federal case. I don't have it. I don't have any problem in -- just as whenever I get legal authority, I obviously don't ever attempt to investigate any kind of cases. I certainly am charged with knowing the law and doing what I can to discover the law.

MR. PAUPORE: The information that you just provided us about the hard copies coming to the county attorney's office is information that we did not put into our pleadings, and this is new information to me. And I think it has a

os 19 199M **24** Information to me. And I think it has a significant bearing on this issue.

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

THE COURT: Well, it could. And, as I said, I
thought I had a recollection of someone mentioning
that this could have happened. Someone mentioned
to me sometime ago when the whole records issue
arose.

15

But yesterday specifically the clerk

7 mentioned that 14 of 16 of those orders went to the
county attorney, at least by the system that's used
in the county attorney's office. Whether that
actually happened, maybe that's a separate
county attorn.

11 question.

Just going by what boxes are checked,
33 19 51PM 13 Mr. Parzych says he found one order already. If it
30 19 54PM 14 Just happened to be one of the two that wasn't,
33 19 57PM 15 then that's the way it is. I'll have to sort that
30 20 00 20 16 out.

MR. PARZYCH: Judge?
THE COURT: Yes?

MR. PARZYCH: As you've been talking, I've
been going through the orders. And I do see those
boxes. The problem I have is on our copies that
stamp doesn't go all the way through. We can read
the handwriting where it says, Osborn Maledon or
wrote in Sears.

But as I'm going through, it appears at Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

least on the left-hand side there is a check mark
 on a lot of those orders. Not only says county
 attorney, but it appears it may say -- I think it
 says victim witness. Again, our copy is hard to
 read. But from what you're saying, Judge, I see
 those checks on our copies too.

THE COURT: I'm just bringing that up. I saw it yesterday. And I'm telling you. And consider that.

And, Mr. Paupore, you're asking about the authority. I have no problem at all about letting people know what cases I see. If you want, I'll email out the cites. All I've done actually is -
12 June 14 I don't know that they're that relevant. I have a couple of cites. I'll just go ahead and email them

13 to both parties.

MR. PAUPORE: I'd appreciate that, Your Honor. Thank you.

ank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Paupore, anything else?

MR. PAUPORE: Well, I'm not sure where we're going from there. Are we going to have -- I'm not sure where the Court is headed right now. My understanding of this hearing this afternoon was to discuss and see the necessity or lack thereof of holding oral argument and the length that would be

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

10/28/2011 09 12.10 AM

Page 13 to 16 of 26

4 of 7 sheets

16

03 24 47PM

03 25 20PM 16

03 25 22PM 17

03 25 25PM 18

03 25 28PM 19

03 25 53PM

required to do that. That was my understanding of the purpose of this hearing this afternoon.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

03 2 4 46PM

03 21 49PM

03 21 51PM

03 22 02PM

03 22 05PM

03 22 08PM

03 22 11PM

03 22 11PM 10 03 22 13PM 11

03 22 15PM **12**

03 22 18PM 13

03 22 21PM 14

03 22 24PM 15

03 22 26PM 16

D3 22 30PM 17

03 22 34PM 18

03 22 37PM 19

03 22 40PM **20**

03 22 42PM 21

03 22 45PM **22**

03 22 47PM 23

03 22 49PM **24**

03 22 52PM **25**

03 22 55PM

03 23 Ø1PM

03 23 07PM

03 23 20PM

03 23 26PM

03 23 28PM

03 23 34PM

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

03 23 43PM 11

03 23 46PM 12

03 23 50PM 13

03 23 55PM 14

03 23 57PM 15

03 24 DOPM 16

03 24 03PM 17

03 24 11PM 18

03 24 14PM 19

03 24 18PM **20**

03.24.20PM 21

24 25PM 23

03 24 29PM 24

03 24 35PM **25**

22

THE COURT: At least from what the defense thinks, whether even have an oral argument might relate to is there going to be any additional evidence. And the defense points out I can always ask for oral argument if I think it can be helpful. I can do that whether the parties request it or not.

You have requested it, Mr. Paupore. As you know, I generally grant that. Sometimes I find that it's not necessary, that an issue can properly be resolved without argument. But I'm inclined to grant oral argument when people request it. Normally about an hour covers oral arguments when you have everything thoroughly briefed.

And this is -- although you say what I mentioned about the actual hard copies going to the county attorney throughout the case apparently, that may be something you want to consider, both sides.

But I don't -- what I'm saying, Mr. Paupore, is there is a question of whether or not I want to -- I'm going to grant an actual evidentiary hearing.

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

clerk of the court copied the county attorney on every single 15.9 order except for two. And we 3 never used that information.

I don't believe the defense has made that threshold showing that there has been any prejudice 5 03 24 51PM by the county of attorney's office. If there is no 03 24 55PM 7 showing of prejudice, there is no need for an 03 25 04PM evidentiary hearing. 8

THE COURT: Mr. Williams?

03 25 09PM 10 MR. WILLIAMS: I've never agreed with the 03 25 11PM 11 state's case on this that we have to demonstrate some kind of prejudice when there is the violations 03 25 14PM 12 03 25 18PM 13 that we've shown have happened in the case. I don't think that that's a correct reading of the 03 25 18PM 14 03 25 18PM 15 law.

> If the Court's going to say where is the prejudice or where is this, then let us put on an evidentiary hearing, and we'll show you where it is. But we have been precluded from the inside information that the state has.

03 25 31PM **20** D3 25 34PM 21 On the Jarrell report was just, 03 25 37PM 22 basically, brushing over the top. That wasn't an 03 25 41PM 23 independent agency or independent inquiry. And Mr. DeMocker would be entitled to an independent 03 25 43PM 24 03 25 48PM 25 inquiry which -- what happens at an evidentiary

So if the Court is going to hold us to

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

18 In that regard, Mr. Williams or Mr. Parzych, I'd like to know why you think an evidentiary hearing would be helpful to the Court.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, Judge, because if the Court wants to find out -- well, let me put it a different way. If the pleadings, which I think are sufficient, are not going to do it for the Court, I want a chance to put all the employees of the county attorney's office on the stand to explain what they looked at and who told them to look at it. Who did they report that material to?

All we have now is just an in-house investigation from Mr. Jarrell and then what the clerk's office gave to us. We need to flesh this out and say, yeah, we're naming names. This is exactly where we're going. And the Court finds that necessary. Well, then we want to put that on.

> May I respond, Your Honor? THE COURT: If Mr. Williams is finished. MR. WILLIAMS: I'm finished.

MR. PAUPORE: The way I understand the law, before you get to the question of whether or not you hold an evidentiary hearing, there first must be a showing of undue prejudice to the defense. The Court just informed us this afternoon that the

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

hearing where you're given a right of direct 2 examination of people who were involved in this 3 case.

what I don't think is an appropriate standard -and I think, off the top of my head, the name of 03 25 58PM the case is Piccard. I don't think we need under

8 these circumstances to demonstrate a Sixth Amendment violation or prejudice. But if the Court

says we do, we need a three-day evidentiary 03 26 10PM 10 03 26 13PM 11 hearing.

03 26 13PM 12 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, are you saying that 03 26 15PM 13 would be to discover whether there was prejudice, 03 26 18PM 14 actual prejudice?

03 26 20PM 15 MR. WILLIAMS: To demonstrate it. I don't 03 26 22PM 16 have to discover anything. I know what happened. But if the Court needs -- and for purposes of

03 26 25PM 17 03 26 30PM 18 edification, if we didn't demonstrate it, and I 03 26 33PM 19 think we can with an evidentiary hearing.

03 26 35PM 20 THE COURT: You're saying you've demonstrated 03 26 37PM 21 in the pleadings? That's the demonstration?

MR. WILLIAMS: Absolutely. I believe that I have 100 percent proved in those pleadings of what happened. I believe I've met our burden. That's my point of view on that.

Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

20

03 26 38PM 22

03 26 42PM 23

03 26 44PM 24

03 26 48PM 25

1. THE COURT: So you're saying you already know. So I'm trying to picture what would happen at the hearing, what kind of questions would be asked if it's already presented in full and everything you need to present.

03 2**6** 50PM

03 26 56PM

03 27 09PN

03 27 14PM

03 27 16DM

03 27 23PM 03 27 24PM 12

03 27 26PM 13

03 27 35PM 16

03 27 38PM 17

03 27 42PM 18

03 27 44PM 19

03 27 46PM 20

03 27 50PM 21

03 27 52PM **22**

03 27 57PM 23

03 27 59PM **24**

03 28 02PM 25

03 28 04PM

03 28 10PM

03 28 13PM

03.28.16PM

03 28 20PM

03 28 24PM

03 28 26PM

03.28.29PM 03 28 32PM 11

03 28 38PM

03 28 34PM 12

03 28 44PM 15

03 28 50PM 17

03 28 58PM 18

03 28 59PM 19

03 29 02PM 20

03,29 05PM 21

03 29 10PM 23

03 29 14PM **24**

03 29 18PM 25

22

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

16

we need to show.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

14 03 27 28PM 03 27 31PM 15

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, to me it was binary, either on off or. Either you went and viewed sealed ex parte documents or you didn't. Whether or not there is a check box on a copy to me doesn't make any difference. It doesn't change the evaluation at all.

THE COURT: And I'm not saying that is necessarily -- I just added that because I saw it and I thought people wanted to know about it. I don't know how significant it may or may not be other than -- you know -- If people are looking at orders, there it is going -- you know -- going out throughout. It's apparently indicated on the copy that this is what was happening.

I know that people get a lot of orders. And there is a tendency to look at the substance and not go looking into captions, the jurats, or the list of who receives them. So I know. I know that's just the nature of things.

> I just brought that up because I think I Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

1 already in the defense attorney's hands. They had the orders. I don't have those orders in any file. 2 3 I'm taking it strictly on face value and 03 29 27PM not doubting the Court one bit. The Court was 4 03 29 32PM directed to the coing of these hard copies and 5 advised the clerks sent hard copies to the county 6 7 attorney's office on all but two 15.9 orders. That's a revelation to me. 03 29 49PM

But that's information that Mr. Williams 03 29 51PM has had. And that information tells me that how 03 29 53PM 10 could there be prejudice when the clerk's office is 03 30 DOPM 11 03 30 03PM 12 the one that is sending out this information whether or not the county attorney is looking at it 03 30 0604 13 03 30 08РМ 14 or not? It's being broadcast.

03 30 15PM 15 MR. PARZYCH: Judge, just so it's clear, our 03 30 17PM 16 copies and what we have is we went there and we 03 30 20PM 17 have copies of everything. That stamp does not come through clearly on our copies. Just by you 03 30 22PM 18 03 30 25PM 19 saying that, you can kind of make out that it 03 30 29PM 20 appears to say county attorney and victim witness. But, again, if this becomes an issue, we can bring 03 30 31PM 21 03 30 34PM **22** in our copies. It's not clear, at least on the 03 30 34PM 23 copy I'm looking at because that stamp didn't copy 03 30 ЗВРМ 24 well.

> MR. PAUPORE: And I appreciate that, Mina G. Hunt (928) 554-8522

22

03 30 38PM 25

6

7

03 31 22PM 12

03 31 26PM 13

03 31 31PM 14

03 31 36PM 15

03 31 40PM 16

03 31 41PM 17

03 31 44PM 18

would have to anyway. I think you both would want to know that. Both sides would want to know that.

3 Mr. Williams, I'm not saying that's some 4 key factor. It's just something both sides need to 5 know.

MR. WILLIAMS: And I agree with that, Judge. My point is, like I just said -- you know -- must have been four, five minutes ago. What did you know? When did you know it? What did you do with the information? Who told you to do it? Who did you report it to? This is all information that I think if the Court requires it, some kind of showing of prejudice, which, again, I don't think

I'm happy to do the evidentiary hearing and ask questions that aren't in-house questions. And that's all you got before you right now.

THE COURT: Mr. Paupore, anything else? MR. PAUPORE: Your Honor, go back on the issue of having to show prejudice before we have a hearing. That's what the case law says. We don't get to the hearing, in my opinion.

And even is underscored by the fact that today I learn that the county attorney was receiving hard copies and this information was

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

Mr. Parzych. Because I've seen such paperwork 1 2 before in different cases. So maybe my statement that you had that information before you was not entirely accurate. But clearly the Court has that 5 information now. 03.30.53PM

> THE COURT: It's in the file. And that is about to be unsealed here very shortly.

03 31 08PM What I would like to know, Mr. Paupore, and I'm dealing with officers of the court here. I'd like to know if those documents are in your 03 31 20PM 11 file. I'd like to know --

> MR. PAUPORE: Your Honor, I will certainly make every effort to look for them. I don't know as I sit here right now. But I will certainly have the entire file scoured in looking for these orders to see if we have them.

> THE COURT: Again, I'm only going by the clerk's procedure. I have no personal knowledge obviously if that routine was actually followed.

03 31 48PM 19 03 31 51PM 20 If it was, Mr. Paupore, I'd like to know 03 31 54PM **21** what happened with those hard copies. And I 03 31 58PM 22 mentioned this when we had the hearing before. 03 32 00PM 23 This is really an awkward situation. You have officers of the court, and I'm trying to sort

03 32 D4PM 24 03 32 06PM 25 something out. I'm trying to get pertinent facts.

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

24

```
If this is the type of thing that
03 3¥09PM
       1.
       2
           requires separate legal counsel, we're getting into
n3 32 13PM
       3
           that kind of situation. And, you know, this is a
03 32 16PM
           case that's had a number of accusations and matters
       4
       5
           that really -- I don't want to go into it at this
       6
           point. I have got a lot of information here. I
ng 32 34PM
       7
           have not decided whether or not I'm going to grant
0.3 32 36PM
           an evidentiary hearing, that request.
       8
                      I would be granting an oral argument,
03 32 41PM
03 32 44PM 10
           Mr. Paupore. I'll tell you that.
03 32 46PM 11
                      And Mr. Williams.
                      In any event, there has been a request
03 32 46PM 12
03 32 48PM 13
           for oral argument and a motion of this type. I'm
           going to grant that request. I don't think it
03 32 50PM 14
03 32 53РМ 15
           would be more than an hour, 90 minutes, though,
           permitted for that. And that's where it stands
03 32 57PM 16
03 33 05PM 17
           right now.
03 33 07PM 18
                      Thank you, we'll be in recess.
     19
                      (The proceedings concluded.)
     20
     21
     22
     23
     24
     25
```

Mina G Hunt (928) 554-8522

```
26
     STATE OF ARIZONA
                                  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
     COUNTY OF YAVAPAI
               I, Mina G Hunt, do hereby certify that I
     am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
     and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California
               I further certify that these proceedings
     were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
     herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
     typewritten form, and that the foregoing
11
     constitutes a true and correct transcript.
12
               I further certify that I am not related
     to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
13
14
     parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
     interested in the result of the within action
               In witness whereof, I have affixed my
16
     signature this 27th day of October, 2011
17
19
20
22
23
                MINA G HUNT, AZ CR No. 50619
CA CSR No. 8335
24
25
```

Mina G Hunt

(928) 554-8522

1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2) ss: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI)
3	
4	I, Mina G. Hunt, do hereby certify that I
5	am a Certified Reporter within the State of Arizona
6	and Certified Shorthand Reporter in California.
7	I further certify that these proceedings
8	were taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
9	herein set forth, and were thereafter reduced to
10	typewritten form, and that the foregoing
11	constitutes a true and correct transcript.
12	I further certify that I am not related
13	to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the
14	parties or attorneys herein, nor otherwise
15	interested in the result of the within action.
16	In witness whereof, I have affixed my
17	signature this 27th day of October, 2011.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	In a Colon A
23	MINA G HINT AZ CR NO 50610
24	MINA G. HUNT, AZ CR No. 50619 CA CSR No. 8335
25	